

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
	10/789,183	02/26/2004	Peter J. Coassin	AURO1420-1	6955	
	7590 04/16/2007 LISA A HAILE, PH.D. GRAY CARY WARE & FREIDENRICH LLP			EXAMINER		
				LUDLOW, JAN M		
	4365 EXECUT SUITE 1100	IVE DR		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	SANDIEGO, CA 92121-2133		•	1743		
	<i>:</i>			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•		04/16/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)
10/789,183	COASSIN ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Jan M. Ludlow	1743

		Jan M. Ludlow	1743				
The MAILING DATE of this co	mmunication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 16 March 2007 FAILS 1	O PLACE THIS AP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	ALLOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection this application, applicant must timely places the application in condition for a Request for Continued Examination time periods:	file one of the follow allowance; (2) a No	ving replies: (1) an amendment, a tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
 a) The period for reply expires 4 months b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the 	The period for reply expires $\underline{4}$ months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, che TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJE			IE FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CF nave been filed is the date for purposes of determunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the est forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply receivary reduce any earned patent term adjustment.	R 1.136(a). The date nining the period of execution date of the seved by the Office later	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply or than three months after the mailing d	t of the fee. The appropr ginally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on	.37(a)), or any exter	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), t	o avoid dismissal of th				
 The proposed amendment(s) filed aft (a) They raise new issues that woul (b) They raise the issue of new mat 	d require further co	nsideration and/or search (see NC	• —	ecause			
(c) They are not deemed to place the appeal; and/or	ne application in bet	ter form for appeal by materially r		the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims v NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1		corresponding number of finally re	ejected claims.				
4. The amendments are not in complian	ce with 37 CFR 1.12		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).			
Applicant's reply has overcome the following.Newly proposed or amended claim(s)			, timely filed amendme	ent canceling the			
non-allowable claim(s). 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed how the new or amended claims would be a supposed.			rill be entered and an e	explanation of			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be Claim(s) allowed:		nded below of appended.					
Claim(s) allowed Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3-6,8-18 and 72-8)E	•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed aff because applicant failed to provide a s was not earlier presented. See 37 CF 	showing of good and						
 The affidavit or other evidence filed affidentered because the affidavit or other showing a good and sufficient reasons 	evidence failed to o why it is necessary	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under apportant and was not earlier presented.	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is enton REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTH		n of the status of the claims after	entry is below or attach	ned.			
 The request for reconsideration has t See Continuation Sheet. 	peen considered bu	t does NOT place the application	in condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclo	sure Statement(s).	PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).	-				
13. Other:			of the	111			
		+	Jan M. Ludlow				

Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1743

Continuation of 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): The rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph and the rejection under 35 USC 103 over Sun in view of Sollbohmer are overcome.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The rejections remaining after the entry of the amendment are those under 35 USC 103 over Son and Sollbohmer in view of Krug and/or Peck and over WO 01/67114 in view of Sollbohmer. Applicant argues that Son and Sollbohmer require aspiration, but Sun only uses suction to draw from a supply reservoir into a pumping reservoir, and it would have been obvious form the teachings of Krug and/or Peck that the supply reservoir and pumping reservoir are one and the same in an air pressure pump. Sollbohmer additionally teaches an embodiment in which testing fluid is pumped directly form reservoirs 24, not requiring aspiration [0045]. The alternate embodiment of Sollbohmer [0045] is also very similar in structure to the structure of WO, but mounting the fluid sources above the dispensers on the dispensing module, rather than leaving them stationary on a shelf.